### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

JODI HAMMEL

3300 Neshaminy Boulevard

Apartment 305

v.

Bensalem, PA 19020

**CIVIL ACTION** 

No.:

Plaintiff,

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

GREENWOOD GAMING AND ENTERTAINMENT, INC. d/b/a PARX CASINO 3001 Street Road Bensalem, PA 19020

Defendant.

### **CIVIL ACTION COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff, Jodi Hammel (hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff"), by and through her undersigned counsel, hereby avers as follows:

#### I. Introduction

1. Plaintiff has initiated this action to redress violations by Defendant of the American's with Disabilities Act ("ADA" – 42 U.S.C. §§ 12101 *et. seq.*) and applicable state law(s). Plaintiff was unlawfully terminated by Defendant and she suffered damages more fully described/sought herein.

### II. Jurisdiction and Venue

- 2. This action is initiated pursuant to a federal law. The United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania has original subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because the claims arise under the laws of the United States. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's state law claims because they arise out of the same circumstance and are based upon a common nucleus of operative fact
- 3. This Court may properly maintain personal jurisdiction over Defendant because its contacts with this state and this judicial district are sufficient for the exercise of jurisdiction in order to comply with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice, satisfying the standard set forth by the United States Supreme Court in <u>International Shoe Co. v. Washington</u>. 326 U.S. 310 (1945) and its progeny.
- 4. Venue is properly laid in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)(1) and (b)(2), because Defendant resides in and/or conducts business in this judicial district and because a substantial part of the acts and/or omissions giving rise to the claims set forth herein occurred in this judicial district.
- 5. Plaintiff filed a Charge of discrimination and retaliation with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC") and also dual-filed said charge with the Pennsylvania Human Relations Commission ("PHRC"). Plaintiff has properly exhausted her administrative proceedings before initiating this action by timely filing and dual-filing her Charge with the EEOC and PHRC, and by filing the instant lawsuit within 90 days of receiving a right-to-sue letter from the EEOC. Plaintiff will amend her instant lawsuit to include claims under the PHRA after she has properly exhausted her state claims before the Pennsylvania Human Relations Commission.

### III. Parties

- 6. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein their entirety as if set forth in Full.
  - 7. Plaintiff is an adult who resides at the above-captioned address.
- 8. Defendant Greenwood Gaming and Entertainment, Inc. (hereinafter "Defendant Corporation") is a corporation that owns and operates a racetrack, turf clubs, and a casino
- 9. At all times relevant herein, Defendant acted by and through its agents, servants, and employees, each of whom acted at all times relevant herein in the course and scope of their employment with and for Defendant.

### IV. Factual Background

- 10. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein their entirety as if set forth in full.
- 11. Plaintiff was employed by Defendant for approximately three months.
- 12. Plaintiff worked in security for Defendant.
- 13. Plaintiff was employed by Defendant at its Parx Casino location in Bensalem, Pennsylvania.
- 14. Plaintiff has and continues to suffer from health conditions including but not limited to a back problems (i.e. degenerative disc disease and other problems), which requires ongoing medication and treatment.
- 15. During Plaintiff's employment with Defendant, Plaintiff notified Defendant's management of her back problems.
- 16. Plaintiff requested an accommodation from Defendant's management for her back problems including but not limited to light duty work accommodations for a short period of time.

- 17. Defendant provided Plaintiff light duty accommodations for a short period of time of approximately five or six weeks.
- 18. Despite already being placed on light duty work accommodations, Plaintiff was told by Defendant's management that light duty accommodations were no longer available at her work location.
- 19. Defendant's management also made insensitive and discriminatory comments about Plaintiff's work abilities and back problems.
- 20. On or about March 20, 2011, Plaintiff was treated at the hospital for health issues relating to her back problems.
- 21. On or about March 20, 2011, Plaintiff notified Defendant's management of her health problems and related hospital visit, and that she would be returning to work in a few days.
- 22. On or about March 20, 2011, during Plaintiff's aforementioned communication with Defendant's management, Defendant's management asked Plaintiff (in a derogatory manner) if she was prescribed narcotics.
- 23. On or about March 22, 2011, in very close proximity to Plaintiff's hospitalization for her health conditions, Defendant terminated Plaintiff.
- 24. Defendant terminated Plaintiff because of her actual or perceived health conditions and/or her requests for accommodations.

# Count I <u>Violations of the Americans With Disabilities Act ("ADA")</u> (Actual & Perceived Disability Discrimination / Failure to Accommodate)

25. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.

- 26. Plaintiff suffered from serious health conditions that required ongoing treatment, and Defendant terminated Plaintiff because of her disabilities and/or because of her perceived disabilities.
- 27. Defendant failed to engage in a good-faith interactive process with Plaintiff about multiple types of accommodations: (1) intermittent time off from work; (2) her light-duty needs; and (3) the possibility of giving her an alternative position within Defendant.
- 28. Plaintiff had disabilities that substantially limited life activities as set forth in this Complaint, including but not limited to a back problems.
- 29. Although Plaintiff had disabilities she was in fact able to perform the essential functions of her job and to work for Defendant.
- 30. Defendant's failure to properly accommodate Plaintiff and her termination due to her known and/or perceived health problems constitute violations of the ADA.

## Count II <u>Violations of the Americans With Disabilities Act ("ADA")</u> (Retaliation – Wrongful Termination)

- 31. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein their entirety as if set forth in full.
- 32. Plaintiff requested a reasonable accommodation from Defendant, namely periodic time off from work for medical treatment relating to her serious health condition and/or light duty.
  - 33. Plaintiff was terminated by Defendant because of her requested accommodation(s).
  - 34. These actions as aforesaid constitute violations of the ADA.

**WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff prays that this Court enter an Order providing that:

- A. Defendant is to be prohibited from continuing to maintain its illegal policy, practice or custom of discriminating/retaliating against employees and is to be ordered to promulgate an effective policy against such unlawful acts and to adhere thereto;
- B. Defendant is to compensate Plaintiff, reimburse Plaintiff and make Plaintiff whole for any and all pay and benefits Plaintiff would have received had it not been for Defendant's illegal actions, including but not limited to past lost earnings, future lost earnings, salary, pay increases, bonuses, medical and other benefits, training, promotions, pension, and seniority. Plaintiff should be accorded those benefits illegally withheld from the date he first suffered retaliation/interference at the hands of Defendant until the date of verdict;
- C. Plaintiff is to be awarded punitive damages, as permitted by applicable law, in an amount determined by the Court or trier of fact to be appropriate to punish Defendant for its willful, deliberate, malicious and outrageous conduct and to deter Defendant or other employers from engaging in such misconduct in the future;
- D. Plaintiff is to be accorded any and all other equitable and legal relief as the Court deems just, proper and appropriate, including but not limited to, emotional distress and/or pain and suffering damages (where legally permitted);
- E. Plaintiff is to be awarded the costs and expenses of this action and reasonable legal fees as provided by applicable federal and state law;
- F. Any verdict in favor of Plaintiff is to be molded by the Court to maximize the financial recovery available to the Plaintiff in light of the caps on certain damages set forth in applicable federal law; and
  - G. Plaintiff's claims are to receive trial by jury to the extent allowed by applicable

law. Plaintiff has also endorsed this demand on the caption of this Complaint in accordance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38(b).

Respectfully submitted,

KARPF & KARPF, P.C.

By:

Ari Karpf 3331 Street Road Two Greenwood Square Suite 128 Bensalem, PA 19020

(215) 639-0801

Dated: December 16, 2011

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

### CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

	Telephone	•	FAX Numl	ber	<b>E</b>	Mail Address		
	12/10/2 Date 2/15/03	<u> 2011</u> 39. 0801	Attorney-at ZID. US	1.4970	PIC	Untiff WPHOKO	VPF-10	- 701 YV
	(f) Standard	d Management –	Cases that do not	fall into any	one of the otl	her tracks.	Ì	4
(e) Special Management – Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases.)								
	(d) Asbesto exposur	(	)					
	(c) Arbitrat	ion – Cases requi	red to be designa	ted for arbitra	ation under L	ocal Civil Rule 5	53.2. (	)
			equesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health ying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.					)
	(a) Habeas	Corpus - Cases b	orought under 28	U.S.C. § 224	J.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.			
	ACKS:							
ireenw	plaintiff sha filing the co side of this designation the plaintiff	DOWN ON CAN poor with the Civil all complete a Cas omplaint and serve of form.) In the e at that defendant so f and all other par at defendant belie	se Management T e a copy on all def vent that a defent hall, with its first ties, a Case Management	rack Designa endants. (See dant does no appearance, a agement Track	tion Form in § 1:03 of the tagree with the submit to the k Designation	all civil cases at plan set forth on the plaintiff rega clerk of court ar	the time of the reversarding said and serve of	of se id on
	Jodi	Hamme	-	: :		CIVIL ACTIO	N	

(Civ. 660) 10/02

## Case 2:11-cv-07698-MMB Document 1 Filed 12/16/11 Page 9 of 10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Address of Plaintiff: 3300 NCNOWN BY AD 305 BENGUM TO 19020  Address of Defendant: 300 Stylet Road, Bengue To Accident, Incident or Transaction: 0Use Reverse Side For Additional Space)  Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation owning 10% ownore of its stock?  (Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a))  Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?  RELATED CASE, IF ANY:  Case Number: Judge Date Terminated:										
Address of Defendant: 300   Stylet Road, Benouth Hubband Hubband Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Use Reverse Side For Additional Space)  Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock?  (Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a))  Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?  Yes No										
(Use Reverse Side For Additional Space)  Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock?  (Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a))  Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?  Yes No  No  RELATED CASE, IF ANY:										
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock?  (Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a))  Yes No  No  No  RELATED CASE, IF ANY:										
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a))  Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?  **RELATED CASE, IF ANY:**  Yes **D No Property of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a))  Yes **D No Property of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a))										
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:										
Case Number. Judge Date Terminated.										
,										
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:										
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?										
Yes No										
<ol><li>Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?</li></ol>										
$_{ m Yes}\Box$ $_{ m No}\Box$										
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously										
terminated action in this court?										
A Latin and a grant place of the company of the com										
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights case filed by the same individual?  Yes \( \text{No} \)  No										
Yes□ No□										
CIVIL; (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)										
A. Federal Question Cases:  1. □ Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts  1. □ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts										
2. □ FELA  2. □ Airplane Personal Injury										
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury  3. □ Assault, Defamation										
4. □ Antitrust  4. □ Marine Personal Injury										
5. □ Patent 5. □ Motor Vehicle Personal Injury										
6. □ Labor-Management Relations 6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please										
specify)										
7. □ Products Liability										
8. □ Habeas Corpus 8. □ Products Liability — Asbestos										
9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases 9. □ All other Diversity Cases										
10. □ Social Security Review Cases (Please specify)										
11. □ All other Federal Question Cases										
(Please specify)										
ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check Appropriate Category) , counsel of record do hereby certify:										
□ Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of										
\$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;										
Relief other than monetary damages is sought.										
17/110/7011 (S) ARY 7404										
DATE:   Z   W   Z   Attorney-at-Law  Attorney-at-Law  NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.										
Attorney-at-Law NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.										
Attorney-at-Law NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.  I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court										
Attorney-at-Law NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.										

CIV. 609 (6/08)

### 

%JS 44 (Rev. 12/07, NJ 5/08)

### **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

1. (a) PLAINTIFFS		DEFENDANTS									
HAMMEL, JODI		GREENWOOD GAMING AND ENTERTAINMENT, INC. d/b/a PARX CASINO									
(b) County of Residence	e of First Listed Plaintiff Bucks	County of Residence of	Bucks								
	ame, Address, Telephone Number and Email Add C., Two Greenwood Square, 33	NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.									
•	te 128, Bensalem, PA 19020		Attorneys (If Known)								
	akarpf@karpf-law.com	·		•							
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only) III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff											
U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)		(For Diversity Cases Only) PT en of This State								
<ul> <li>2 U.S. Government</li> <li>Defendant</li> </ul>	☐ 4 Diversity	Citize	en of Another State	2							
	(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		en or Subject of a Dreign Country	3 🛘 3 Foreign Nation	0606						
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	VZZZZI RVARI	111444441111XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	Companyonerran	ELA TAMANA PANGKAN ANTAKA KASARISA						
110 Insurance   120 Marine   130 Miller Act   140 Negotiable Instrument   150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment   151 Medicare Act   152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans)   153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits   160 Stockholders' Suits   190 Other Contract   195 Contract Product Liability   196 Franchise	PERSONAL INJURY  310 Airplane  315 Airplane Product Liability  320 Assault, Libel & Product Liability  330 Federal Employers' Liability  340 Marine  340 Marine  345 Marine Product Liability  350 Motor Vehicle  350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability  385 Property Damage Product Liability  385 Property Damage	AY	0 Agriculture 20 Other Food & Drug 25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 10 Liquor Laws 10 R.R. & Truck 10 Airline Regs. 10 Occupational Safety/Health 10 Other	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawal	□ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and □ Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 810 Selective Service □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 875 Customer Challenge □ 12 USC 3410 □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 892 Economic Stabilization Act □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 894 Energy Allocation Act □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes						
V. ORIGIN  Original Proceeding  Original Proceeding  Original Proceeding  Original Proceeding  Original Proceeding  Original State Court  Original Proceeding  Original Proceeding  Original State Court  Original Original Remanded from Appellate Court  Original Proceeding  Original Original State Court  Original Origin											
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION  Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):  American's with Disabilities Act "ADA" (42USC12101)  Brief description of cause: Violations of the ADA and applicable state law(s).											
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint:  X Yes  No							
VIII. RELATED CAS	SE(S) (See instructions): JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER								
Explanation: OIL		) NE ATTOC	DNEV OF DECORP								
DATE	SIGNATURE	TAIIU	RNEY OF RECORD								